



For Office Use only:		
Date		
Ref		

## Core Strategy Development Plan Document

Regulation 20 of the Town & Country (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012.

### Publication Draft - Representation Form

#### PART A: PERSONAL DETAILS

*\* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation in box 1 below but complete the full contact details of the agent in box 2.*

	1. YOUR DETAILS*	2. AGENT DETAILS (if applicable)
Title	Miss	
First Name	[REDACTED]	
Last Name	Cox	
Job Title (where relevant)		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address Line 1	[REDACTED]	
Line 2	Pudsey	
Line 3	[REDACTED]	
Line 4		
Post Code	LS28 [REDACTED]	
Telephone Number	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	
Signature:	[REDACTED] Cox	Date: 26/3/14

#### Personal Details & Data Protection Act 1998

Regulation 22 of the Town & Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2012 requires all representations received to be submitted to the Secretary of State. By completing this form you are giving your consent to the processing of personal data by the City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council and that any information received by the Council, including personal data may be put into the public domain, including on the Council's website. From the details above for you and your agent (if applicable) the Council will only publish your title, last name, organisation (if relevant) and town name or post code district.

Please note that the Council cannot accept any anonymous comments.

For Office Use only:			
Date			
Ref			

**PART B – YOUR REPRESENTATION - Please use a separate sheet for each representation.**

**3. To which part of the Plan does this representation relate?**

Section	4.1	Paragraph	C1	Policy	SC7 page 57
---------	-----	-----------	----	--------	-------------

**4. Do you consider the Plan is:**

4 (1). Legally compliant	Yes		No	
4 (2). Sound	Yes		No	X
4 (3). Complies with the Duty to co-operate	Yes		No	

**5. Please give details of why you consider the Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please refer to the guidance note and be as precise as possible.**

**If you wish to support the legal compliance, soundness of the Plan or its compliance with the duty to co-operate, please also use this box to set out your comments.**

I refer to the proposal laid out in Bradford Council’s Core strategy for urban extension of Holme Wood, in Southeast Bradford. I am objecting because I do not believe this plan is sound.

The plan claims to be mindful of not undermining the strategic function of green belt land, yet it appears to compromise all 5 of the purposes of green belt land identified in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).

The area of green belt in question is a vital countryside lung in Tong valley, separating the neighbouring authorities of Leeds and Bradford and preventing merger of these towns. It is difficult to see how it will “enhance the role of green belt between Bradford and Leeds as high quality country Park” as stated in the plan document.

There is no evidence to demonstrate that alternative Brownfield sites have been sufficiently explored as an option. I do not believe it is acceptable to encroach on this green belt land, when other options have seemingly been too readily dismissed. It is not justified to lose green belt protected land, when alternatives which recycle derelict (and other urban land) should be given priority.

6. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound, having regard to the test you have identified at question 5 above where this relates to the soundness. (N.B Please note that any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination).

You will need to say why this modification will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

I believe the plan should be modified to demonstrate respect for the function of greenbelt land as outlined in the NPPF. It should reflect genuine commitment to minimising release of green belt land.

*Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage. Please be as precise as possible.*

*After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.*

7. If your representation is seeking a modification to the Plan, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

X

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

8. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

*Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt when considering to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.*

9. Signature: [Redacted] Cox

Date: 26/3/14

## Core Strategy Development Plan Document (DPD) : Publication Draft

### PART C: EQUALITY AND DIVERSITY MONITORING FORM

Bradford Council would like to find out the views of groups in the local community. Please help us to do this by filling in the form below. It will be separated from your representation above and will not be used for any purpose other than monitoring.

**Please place an 'X' in the appropriate boxes.**

